

**SECRET**  
(When Filled In)

<b>SPEED LETTER</b>		REPLY REQUESTED		DATE 10 January 1969	
		YES	NO	LETTER NO.	
TO : Executive Director, NPIC		FROM: Chief, Planning, Programming & Budgeting Staff			
ATTN: <i>Hank</i>					
RE: <i>Hank</i> Change of Scope Proposal for Contract <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 100px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> for High Precision Stereo Comparator.					
<p>Following information is in response to questions you raised re: subject proposal per Al's blue bullet of 31 Dec 68:</p> <p>(a) Was there any loss of money by going the initial route for programming a 516 computer through the Model 35ASR Teletype? Was some equipment purchased which is no longer usable?</p> <p>There is no loss of money. The 35ASR Teletype is part of Center's communication link with Central Computer, 494. Still in use and adaptable for use between the 516 and 494 computers.</p> <p>(b) Who will operate this computer when the equipment is installed? AID? What programming support will be required once it is in-house and operational? Will AID be called upon to provide programming? Or will any further programming be required once the equipment is installed?</p>					
SIGNATURE					
<b>REPLY</b>					DATE
<p>The 516 Computer is an integral part of The Stereo-Comparator and automatically responds to changes by operator of the Comparator.</p> <p>AID will not participate in programming.</p> <p><span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> will program for existing <span style="border: 1px solid black; display: inline-block; width: 50px; height: 1.2em; vertical-align: middle;"></span> systems. Updating these existing <i>ones</i> and planning for future <i>ones</i> will be done by Photogrammetric Div./IEG and APSD/TSSG (for mathematics). If it should be necessary to secure outside programming support, PHD/IEG will monitor.</p>					
SIGNATURE					
<p><i>Hank</i> This is in line w/ that we a policy approach currently reviewing to one currently reviewing to your w/ the group submission for your approval.</p>					
<p>Signature</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>					
<p>Declass Review by NIMA/DOD</p>					
<p>Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010083-7</p> <p>RETURN TO ORIGINATOR</p>					

25X1

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

Hank:

This appears to be in order.

There is no problem about the funding since we apparently have much flexibility in our FY 69 program.

From a technical standpoint it seems to be the best course of action. [ ] did a separate check with AID [ ] who recommends that we go this route.

Suggest you approve this for ACL in his absence. You are legally permitted to do so.

*AD* A1 (31 Dec 68)

(DATE)

*As this will be a part of our computer inventory for*

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 WHICH MAY BE USED. *Bob reporting progress* (47)

25X1  
25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Officer, PPBS

Tom:

☐ has reviewed this and thinks it looks OK, but before approving has asked two questions:

(a) Was there any loss of money by going the initial route for programming a 516 computer through the Model 35ASR Teletype? Was some equipment purchased which is no longer usable?

(b) Who will operate this computer when the equipment is installed? AID? What programming support will be required once it is in-house and operational? Will AID be called upon to provide programming? Or will any further programming be required once the equipment is installed?

\_\_\_\_\_(DATE)

(over)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101  
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

MEMORANDUM FOR:  *P. J. Jan of*

*Dick,*

*Would you try to get answers to questions posed by  my guess is:*

*(a) no, no.*

*(b) IEG/PhD. operating programs as required, no (not an AID responsibility). yes (will be done by IEG/PhD or by contract monitored by IEG/PhD).*

*But we need answers from the horse's mouth.*

*Thanks.*

*ted* 12/31  
(DATE)

FORM NO. 101  
1 AUG 54

REPLACES FORM 10-101  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

MEMORANDUM FOR:  *for*

*Gordon -*

*Would you have Dick put answers to  questions in the form of a speed letter or short memo to Exec Dir from Ch/PPBS. Thanks.*

*Morgan*

*Dick: Please do*

*ted* 1/9  
(DATE)

FORM NO. 101  
1 AUG 54

REPLACES FORM 10-101  
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

25X1  
MEMORANDUM FOR:  *AN*

**FOR YOUR REVIEW**

25X1  
25X1  
Back again from PTBS is the  
TSSG proposal for a change in  
scope to the  High Precision  
Stereo Comparator contract.... The  
price tag is

*ad*

*1/13*

(DATE)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101  
1 AUG 54 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

25X1

## CENTER ROUTING SLIP

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010083-7		DATE
FROM		16 Dec. 68
TO	INITIALS	DATE
DIRECTOR		
DEP/DIRECTOR		
EXEC/DIRECTOR		
SPECIAL ASST	3 M	12/31
ASST TO DIR		
ASST TO DEP/DIR		
CH/PPBS	1 noted	
DEP CH/PPBS		
EO/PPBS	tdh	12/17
CH/IEG		
DEP CH/IEG		
EO/IEG		
CH/PSG		
DEP CH/PSG		
EO/PSG		
CH/DBD/PSG		
CH/TSSG	2 JWC	
DEP CH/TSSG		
EO/TSSG		
DIR/IAS/DDI		
CH/DIA/XX4		
CH/DIA/AP-1P		
CH/SPAD		

REMARKS

I recommended approval. Also, suggest that the [ ] be made available from that currently stated for Target Determination Chip Maher project. It appears that NAC + Comvex Data Base Working Group feel that project is not essential.

JWC

1-<sup>2</sup> John,  
since there is a change in scope for less than [ ] against FY69 funds, Mr. Fandahl can approve and there is no need for OPPB certification; there certification statement and OPPB approval is not needed.

Approved For Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RDP78B05171A000100010083-7

25X1

25X1